

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|---------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 09/782,077 | 02/14/2001 | Jonathan S. Stamler | 28195-502 CIP 9791 | |
| 20306 759 | 90 06/27/2005 | | EXAMINER | |
| MCDONNELL BOEHNEN HULBERT & BERGHOFF LLP | | | PAK, JOHN D | |
| 300 S. WACKE | R DRIVE | | | |
| 32ND FLOOR | | | ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER | |
| CHICAGO, IL | 60606 | | 1616 | |
| • | | | DATE MAILED: 06/27/2003 | 5 |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application N |). <i>F</i> | Applicant(s) | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| n | 09/782,077 | s | STAMLER, JONATHAN S. | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | | Art Unit | | | |
| • | JOHN PAK | · 1 | 1616 | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply | on appears on the cov | er sheet with the cor | respondence address | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR IT THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicat - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ION. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, ho tion. s, a reply within the statutory n period will apply and will expi y statute, cause the application | wever, may a reply be timely ninimum of thirty (30) days w re SIX (6) MONTHS from the n to become ABANDONED (| y filed will be considered timely. mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on | 05 January 2005. | | | | | |
| 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ∑ | This action is non-fi | nal. | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the me | | | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice u | nder <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> | , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 | O.G. 213. | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) <u>1-5,7-9,11,13 and 15-22</u> is/are | pending in the applica | ation. | • | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wi | | | | | | |
| 5)☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3,11,13,15-17,21 and 22</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) <u>4,5,7-9 and 18-20</u> is/are objected | ed to. | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction | and/or election requi | ement. | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Ex | aminer. | | | | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) | | biected to by the Ex | aminer. | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the | | | * | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by | the Examiner. Note th | ne attached Office A | ction or form PTO-152. | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo | oreian priority under 3 | 5 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(| d) or (f). | | | |
| a) All b) Some * c) None of: | orongin priority under t | | | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority docu | ıments have been red | ceived. | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority docu | | | ı No | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of th | e priority documents | nave been received | in this National Stage | | | |
| application from the International E | Bureau (PCT Rule 17 | 2(a)). | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for | a list of the certified | copies not received. | • | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | K | 7 | TO 440 | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94) | | Interview Summary (P⁻ Paper No(s)/Mail Date. | | | | |
| 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/ | SB/08) 5) L | Notice of Informal Pate | ent Application (PTO-152) | | | |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date | 6) L | _ Other: | | | | |
| J.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) | ffice Action Summary | Part o | of Paper No./Mail Date 06232005 | | | |
| | | | 0 6,2 | | | |

Art Unit: 1616

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114 was filed in this application after appeal to the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences, but prior to a decision on the appeal. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114 and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the appeal has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114 and prosecution in this application has been reopened pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 4/23/2004 has been entered.

Claims 1-5, 7-9, 11, 13, 15-22 are pending in this application.

Applicant is advised to amend "comprises" to --- is --- in claims 4, 18, and 20-22, at line 1 in each respective claim. See the complete changes recommended by the Examiner in the fax of June 20, 2005, which is enclosed herewith in the Interview Summary Record.

Claims 4-5, 18-19 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim.

Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form.

It appears that the compounds of dependent claims 4-5 and 18-19 do not meet the "so it does not form ..." requirement of independent claim 1. The claims are therefore not properly dependent on claim 1; and said claims fail to further limit claim 1.

Art Unit: 1616

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-3, 11, 13, 15-17, 21-22 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-10 and 21-22 of copending Application No. 10/069,114. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because of the following reasons.

The difference between the claimed invention and the copending claims is that the copending claims do not expressly state that its inventive method is for treating a pulmonary disorder associated with depletion of the S-nitrosoglutathione pool in the lung or depletion of the glutathione pool in the lung or production of reactive oxygen species in the lung, as claimed herein. However, it is clear that the copending claims are directed to many of the same pulmonary disorders, such as pulmonary

Art Unit: 1616

hypertension, acute respiratory distress syndrome, asthma, cystic fibrosis, persistent pulmonary hypertension in a human baby, and primary pulmonary hypertension. See copending claims 3-10 and 21-22. Therefore, one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to utilize the explicitly disclosed ethyl nitrite (copending claim 2) to treat such same disease conditions and also utilize similar compounds having an NO group such as methyl nitrite with the expectation that similar treatment efficacy would be obtained. Therefore, the claimed invention, as a whole, would have been recognized by the ordinary skilled artisan as an obvious variation of the invention set forth in the copending claims in Application No. 10/069,114.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Claims 7-9 and 20 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to JOHN PAK whose telephone number is (571)272-0620. The Examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 8 AM to 4:30 PM.

Art Unit: 1616

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's SPE, Gary Kunz, can be reached on (571)272-0887.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571)273-8300.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (571)272-1600.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

John Pak Primary Examiner Group 1800